



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,661	08/01/2003	Steven M. Casey	020366-092000US	3558
84190 7590 09/03/2010 Qwest Communications International Inc. 1801 California St., #900 Denver, CO 80202				
EXAMINER				
TIMBLIN, ROBERT M				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2167				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/03/2010		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/632,661

Applicant(s)

CASEY ET AL.

Examiner

ROBERT TIMBLIN

Art Unit

2167

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/27/2010.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 10-16 and 26-32 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 10-16 and 26-32 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 8/1/2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/06)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

This office action corresponds to application 10/632,661 which was filed 8/01/2003.

Claims 10-16 and 26-32 have been examined and are pending prosecution.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/27/2010 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

In the reply filed 7/27/2010, Applicant has amended claim 10 while cancelling claim 17. Accordingly, claims 10-16 and 26-32 remain pending.

Specification

The previous objection to the specification is respectfully withdrawn in light of Applicant's response and amendment thereto.

Drawings

New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because the reference numerals and/or figure text may be unclear given a quick

glance (e.g. the shading of the content object entities and elements 110 and 120 render the included text difficult to read). Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsman outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Upon examination of the amended claims, claim 1 is objected to for the following reasons:

1) Line 9 recites “object *entitles*”. It is interpreted that *entitles* should be “entities” so to be consistent with the claims.

2) Line 10 recites “that content object”. Therein, it is unclear which content object “that content object” refers to.

3) Line 24 includes two periods between “an” and “Internet”. It is submitted that these periods should be removed.

Appropriate correction is respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10-14, 16, and 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sherman et al. ('Sherman' hereafter, U.S. Patent Application 2002/0051119) in view of McMurdie et al. ('McMurdie' hereafter, U.S. Patent 6,882,795).

With respect to claim 10, Sherman teaches A method for utilizing content objects by a content access point within a customer's premises, wherein the method comprises:

creating, at the content access point (computer 20), a first list of available content objects and a respective format (fig. 2; e.g. "Movie") of each available content object (0014; e.g. "...the following screen may present available movie clips belonging to the selected category, as shown in FIG. 4." and "The video clips may be stored in any preferred format, including but not limited to the MPEG, AVI, Windows Media, QuickTime, or Real Video format." Thus, Sherman discloses a list of available movie-format clips (e.g. available content objects) and respective formats (e.g. a movie or video format such as those listed in paragraph 0014)

creating, at the content access point (computer 20), a guide indicating available content objects (fig. 4) accessing a first content object (0004, 0013; e.g. a user is able to retrieve and select a movie or film clip for modification) from a first content object entity (0013; e.g. the film/movie clip is retrieved from storage device 50) within the customer's premises (0012; e.g. the system 10 comprises a computer 20... the system may also be connected to a local area network; and 0031 wherein the system is implemented on a personal computer. Therein, the

personal computer and/or local area network is interpreted to be located within the user, or customer's premises), wherein the first content object (0007; e.g. original movie clip) is in a first content format (0014; The video clips may be stored in any preferred format, including MPEG, AVI, Windows Media, QuickTime, or Real Video format) compatible with the first content object entity (storage 50) and wherein the first content object is selected from a group consisting of a voicemail object, an email object, a video object, an audio object, and an Internet web page (0007; e.g. a movie clip represents at least a video object);

abstracting the first content object to create a second content object in an abstract format (0007, wherein audio in the movie clip is replaced and 0013, wherein the original movie clip is taught as modified. Therein, modification of the movie clip is seen as abstracting and the modified movie clip is a second content object in an abstract format), wherein the abstract format is compatible with a plurality of content formats (0023 which lists content formats the movie clip can be saved as and thus are compatible);

distinguishing (0018 and 0020; e.g. the system saves the file as an encoded audio file) the second content object to create a third content object (0023 wherein the clip can be saved into or sent as file of a plurality of formats) wherein the third content object is in a second content format that is compatible with a second content object entity (0023; e.g. e-mail, a disc, tape, or cd) within the customer's premises (0012; e.g. the system 10 comprises a computer 20... the system may also be connected to a local area network; and 0031 wherein the system is implemented on a personal computer. Therein, the personal computer and/or local area network is interpreted to be located within the user, or customer's premises), wherein the third content object is selected from a group consisting of a voicemail object, an email object, a video object,

an audio object, and an Internet web page (0023; e.g. the modified clip may be saved as a video file or distributed as an email to teach at least a video object and email object), and wherein the third content object is different from the first content format (0023 wherein the saved file is different than the original clip);

and providing the third content object to the second content object entity (0023; e.g. saving to a disc, tape, or cd).

Although Sherman teaches creating a list and guide of available content objects, Sherman does not appear to expressly teach creating, at the content access point, a second list of content object entities and one or more respective formats that each content object entity is capable of supporting and, for each available content object, one or more content object entities to which that content object can be directed, based at least in part on the first list and the second list.

McMurdie, however, teaches creating, at the content access point (system 200), a second list of content object entities (col. 5 lines 50-51 and Fig 3; e.g. application format interfaces are identified and provided as well as col. 6 lines 4-17 wherein recording devices 280 are enumerated) and one or more respective formats (col. 5 lines 14-29) that each content object entity is capable of supporting (col. 5 lines 16-16 wherein for each format supported by a system, there is an application format interface, and col. 6 line 4 and lines 44-45 wherein recording devices supported by the system are enumerated and further the devices are narrowed to only those that are available with the active format) and, for each available content object, one or more content object entities to which that content object can be directed (col. 3 lines 7-8 wherein the data is sent through an application format interface and col. 7 line 14 wherein the data is passed to the recording device 280), based at least in part on the first list (col. 1 lines 36-37; e.g.

McMurdie teaches locating and sequencing data or audio files to also teach the claimed first list) and the second list (col. 5 lines 50-51; e.g. application format interfaces are identified and provided as well as col. 6 lines 4-17 wherein recording devices 280 are enumerated) for providing a recording apparatus.

Accordingly, in the same field of endeavor, (i.e. recording multimedia), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the data processing art at the time of the present invention to combine the teachings of the cited references because by adding the list of content object entities to the guide of Sherman, a user would have the benefit to easily identify and select where to distribute, record, or save a created movie clip (as needed by Sherman, paragraph 0023). Thus, the teachings of McMurdie would have further provided a more closely integrated system that minimizes the processing of data to be recorded as well as avoiding data interruption (as provided by McMurdie, col. 2 lines 30-37).

With respect to claim 11, Sherman teaches the method of claim 10, wherein the method further comprises:

accessing a fourth content object from a third content object entity (0032; e.g. a movie clip from a service provider) wherein the fourth content object is in a third content format compatible with the third content object entity (e.g. the clip is stored at a service provider and thus is seen as compatible), wherein the fourth content object is selected from a group consisting of a voicemail object, an email object, a video object, an audio object, a document object, and an internet web page, and wherein the fourth content object is different from the first content format

and the second content format (0032; e.g. the accessed movie clip teaches at least a video object);

abstracting the fourth content object to create a fifth content object (0007 and 0027, wherein audio in the movie clip is replaced and 0013, wherein the original movie clip is taught as modified. Therein, modification of the movie clip is seen as abstracting and the modified movie clip is a second content object in an abstract format); and

combining the fifth content object with the second content object (0007; e.g. the dubbing of a voice in a selected movie clip and 0023; e.g. inserting photographs into the video), wherein the combination of the second and fifth content objects are distinguished to create the third content object (0023 wherein the saved file is different than the original clip).

With respect to claim 12, Sherman teaches the method of claim 11, wherein the first content object is a video object (0007; e.g. movie clip), and wherein the fourth content object is an audio object (0032; e.g., audio file).

With respect to claim 13, Sherman teaches the method of claim 12, wherein abstracting the first content object includes separating an audio portion from a video portion of the video object (0007; e.g. replacing a voice which would require separating a voice portion from the movie clip).

With respect to claim 14, Sherman teaches the method of claim 11, wherein the first content object is a video object (0007; e.g. movie clip), and wherein the fourth content object is an Internet object (0032; e.g. a movie clip purchased from an Internet web site).

With respect to claim 16, Sherman teaches the method of claim 10, wherein the first content object is a video object (0007; e.g. movie clip), wherein abstracting the first content object includes removing a visual portion of the video object (0024; e.g. segmenting out a background scene), and wherein the second content object includes an audio portion of the video object (0027; e.g. the remaining soundtrack).

With respect to claim 26, Sherman teaches the method of claim 11, wherein the first content object entity is selected from a group consisting of an appliance control system, a telephone information system, a storage medium including video objects, a storage medium including audio objects, an audio stream source, a video stream Source, a human interface, the Internet, and an interactive content entity (0012 wherein storage 50 can be a source for movie clips, 0012 wherein CD-ROMS/DVDs can be sources, and further 0024 a pre-recorded video source and camera. Therein the storages and camera at least teach a storage medium and a/v stream source).

With respect to claim 27, the method of claim 26, wherein the second content object entity is selected from a group consisting of an appliance control system, a telephone information system, a storage medium including video objects, a storage medium including audio objects, an

audio stream source, a video stream source, a human interface, the Internet and an interactive content entity (0023; e.g. saving to a disc, tape, or cd teaches at least a storage medium).

With respect to claim 28, Sherman teaches the method of claim 27, wherein the first content object entity is different from the second content object entity (0012 and 0023; e.g. a hard drive and removable storage devices are different).

With respect to claim 29, Sherman teaches the method of claim 27, wherein the third content object entity is selected from a group consisting of all appliance control system, a telephone information system, a storage medium including video objects, a storage medium including audio objects, an audio stream source, a video stream source, a human interface, the Internet, and an interactive content entity (0032 wherein the service provider teaches at least a storage medium and the Internet).

With respect to claim 30, Sherman teaches the method of claim 29, wherein the first content object entity is different from the second content object entity and the third content object entity (0012, 0023, and 0032 wherein a hard drive is different from a removable storage device and service provider (e.g. seen as a server).

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sherman and McMurdie and further in view of Sim et al. ('Sim' hereafter, U.S. Patent 7,272,613).

With respect to claim 15, Sherman does not expressly teach the method of claim 10, wherein the method further comprises: identifying a content object associated with one of the first plurality of content object entities that has expired: and removing the identified content object.

Sim, however, teaches identifying a content object associated with one of the first plurality of content object entities that has expired: and removing the identified content object (col. 54, lines 45-47 and col. 55, line 59 step 'e') for deleting an expired file.

Accordingly, in the same field of endeavor, (i.e. file processing), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the data processing art at the time of the present invention to combine the teachings of the cited references because the teachings of Sim would have given Sherman and McMurdie the ability to control and manage purchased files for the benefit of protecting intellectual properties (needed by Sherman, 0032). Further, the System of Sim would have provided a way to efficiently use storage space by deleting invalid files.

Claims 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sherman and McMurdie and further in view of Detlef (U.S. Patent 6,351,523).

With respect to claim 31, Sherman is not seen to expressly teach the method of claim 10, wherein the first content object comprises a voicemail and the third content object comprises an email.

Detlef, however, teaches wherein the first content object comprises a voicemail and the third content object comprises an email (col. 3 lines 1-19) for providing voicemail and email objects for communication.

Accordingly, in the same field of endeavor, (i.e. modification of files), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the data processing art at the time of the present invention to combine the teachings of the cited references because the system of Detlef would have given the combination of Sherman and McMurdie broader capabilities for the benefit of a more versatile system. Further, Sherman discloses the need for enhancing an email system in paragraph 0009.

With respect to claim 32, Sherman is not seen to expressly teach the method of claim 10, wherein the first content object comprises an email and the third content object comprises a voicemail.

Detlef, however, teaches wherein the first content object comprises a voicemail and the third content object comprises an email (col. 3 lines 1-19) for providing voicemail and email objects for communication.

Accordingly, in the same field of endeavor, (i.e. modification of files), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the data processing art at the time of the present invention to combine the teachings of the cited references because the system of Detlef would have given the computer of Sherman broader capabilities for the benefit of a more versatile system. Further, Sherman discloses the need for enhancing an email system in paragraph 0009.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Patent Application 2004/0107356 filed by Shamoon et al. The subject matter disclosed therein pertains to the pending claims (i.e. a list of devices and the format they support - paragraph 0757).

U.S. Patent Application 2004/0019396 filed by McMahon et al. The subject matter disclosed therein pertains to the pending claims (i.e. a guide of files and their formats as well as a content object entity (e.g. destination) with it's respective supporting format - see Fig. 5).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert M. Timblin whose telephone number is 571-272-5627. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John R. Cottingham can be reached on 571-272-7079. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ROBERT TIMBLIN/

Examiner, Art Unit 2167